

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/964,864 09/28/2001 Yoshinari Nanao KOKUSAI 081 2373 **EXAMINER** 21254 05/20/2004 MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC ENG, GEORGE 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA 22182-3817 2643

DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary				
		09/964,864	NANAO ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	71 - 11 11 10 DATE 541	George Eng	2643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).				
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 s	September 2001.		
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application.			
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
·				
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
Attachment(s)				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary		
3) 🛛 Infor	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 4/20/2001 (paper no. 5) has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hedberg et al. (WO 99/53625A1 hereinafter Hedberg).

Regarding claim 1, Hedberg discloses an amplitude limitation in a CDMA system having a base station amplifier device (200, figure 2) for use in amplifying at least one channel to be transmitted from a base station comprising amplitude limiting means (120a and 120b, figure 2) for amplitude-limiting a based band signal in every said channel, a high frequency modulating means (125a and 125b) for performing a high-frequency modulation on an output from the

Application/Control Number: 09/964,864

Art Unit: 2643

amplitude-limiting means every said channel, adding means (205, figure 2) for adding outputs from all of the high frequency modulating means, amplifying means (260, figure 2) amplifying an output from the adding means, and amplitude controlling means (250, figure 2) for controlling the amplitude limiting means based on characteristic of the amplifying means (page 7 line 21 and page 9 line 17).

Regarding claim 2, Hedberg discloses the amplitude controlling means controlling the amplitude limiting means only when the number of the channel exceeds a preset number (page 9 lines 1-17 and page 10 lines 6-20).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/964,864 Page 4

Art Unit: 2643

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hedberg et al. (WO 99/53625A1 hereinafter Hedberg) in view of Shoji (US PAT. 5,978,421).

Regarding claim 3, Hedberg differs from the claimed invention in not specifically teaching the base station amplifier device further comprising detecting means arrange on an input side or output side of the amplifying means, wherein the amplitude control means controls the amplitude limiting means based on characteristic of the amplifying means and output from said detecting means when output from the detecting means exceeds a threshold value based on characteristic of the amplifying means. However, Shoji teaches an envelope control unit (19, figure 2) having an amplitude setting device (195, figure 2) arrange output side for monitoring an output level of a power amplifier, wherein the power amplified having control means for limiting the amplitude level based on characteristic of amplifying means and amplitude setting device in order to prevent the envelope from receiving either excessive or insufficient (col. 5 lines 26-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hedberg, in having the detecting means, as per teaching of Shoji, because it prevents the envelope from receiving either excessive or insufficient.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sato (US PAT. 5,751,705) discloses a code division multiple access base station transmitter for limiting amplitude of the multiplexed spread signal (abstract).
- 8. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Application/Control Number: 09/964,864

Art Unit: 2643

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Page 5

Arlington, V.A., Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to George Eng whose telephone number is 703-308-9555. The

examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday to Friday from 7:30 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Curtis A. Kuntz, can be reached on (703) 305-4870. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-6306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

George Eng

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2643